United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/918,541	08/01/2001	Gary Mishkin	MISHKIN≔I	3823	
1444	7590 02/18/2004		EXAMINER		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			PERRIN, JOSEPH L		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20001-5303		1746		

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
		918,541	MISHKIN ET AL.	(-)			
Office Action Summ	ary Exa	miner	Art Unit				
	Jose	eph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this c	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date ol - If the period for reply specified above is less th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply within aximum statutory period will appl d for reply will, by statute, cause e months after the mailing date o	In no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty y and will expire SIX (6) MONT the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time "HS from the mailing date of this of the control of the				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>07 Januar</u>	<u>y 2004</u> .		•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This actio	on is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in co	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	e practice under <i>Ex par</i>	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)	is/are withdrawn fro d. ed to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	-	•	•				
Applicant may not request that a		-	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in the cath or declaration is object.		- ·	· -	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified	ne of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority do ternational Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in Apocuments have been r T Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No ecceived in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)		4) 🗀 📖					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 1746 -

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of applicant's amendment filed 07 January 2004 the status of the application is as follows:

35 U.S.C. §102(b) Rejections over Arnal et al. (hereinafter "Arnal")

The rejection of claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-12 & 14 are maintained for at least reasons set forth below.

In response to applicant's argument that Arnal does not disclose the needle being movable between two distinctive positions, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In the instant case, applicant admits that Arnal (see Arnal, col. 11, lines 23-38) discloses fluid pressure to force the needle from a distinct first position to a distinct extended second position (see page 10 of the instant Response).

Thus, since Arnal's needle is capable of being moved between two distinct positions, as claimed by applicant, the recitation of Arnal structurally reads on applicant's claimed device. Moreover, applicant's arguments directed to the

Art Unit: 1746

operation of the device during cleaning is considered intended use and given little patentable weight. Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Danly*, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." *Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.*, 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejections over Arnal

The rejection of claims 6, 9 & 13 are maintained for at least reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues:

The rejection presented in section 6 [rejection under 35 USC §103(a)] of the action is traversed on the grounds that the rejected claims should be considered allowable along with the claims from which they depend.

This is not persuasive because the rejection of these claims and the claims from which they depend are maintained for reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-12 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,050,278 to Arnal *et al.* (hereinafter "Arnal").

Art Unit: 1746

Re claims 1, 10 & 14, Arnal discloses a dialyzer head cleaning apparatus 10 including a housing 14 with opposed ends and delimiting passage 24, a connecting element 50 at one end of the housing, a rotatable and retractable flow directing element 38 with fluid outlets 28 capable of operating as a extending, rotary fluid discharge reaction nozzle (see entire reference of Arnal, for instance, Figures 1A, 2 & 6; col. 8, line 46 *et seq.*; and col. 11, lines 34-44).

Re claims 2, 7-8 & 11-12, Arnal discloses the flow passage 46 in the housing 14, and the needle as being tapered as claimed (for instance, Figures 1A & 4A).

Re claims 3-5, Arnal discloses the needle having a circular wall with outlet openings tangential to the outer wall, and formations (fins) causing rotation of the nozzle (reaction discharge nozzles, well known in the cleaning arts) (see, for instance, Figures 4A-B; col. 11, lines 41-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 6, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnal as cited above.

Recitation of Arnal is repeated here from above. Arnal further discloses the needle inlet 16 having an opening (for instance, col. 11, lines 8-10). Although

Art Unit: 1746

Arnal does not expressly discloses the needle inlet as having more than one opening, Arnal does discloses the outlet having multiple openings (as previously cited). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inlet with more than one opening (as in the outlet) for improved/enhanced fluid flow, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

Conclusion

- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 7. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/918,541

Art Unit: 1746

(571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.

- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571)272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1746

jlp

FRANKIE L. STINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 8400- (700